

Decisions of 359th Meeting of SRC-NCTE

Sl. No.	Brief Description	Remarks of SRC
1.	Confirmation of Minutes of 358 th Meeting of SRC 1 st to 03 rd , March, 2018	Confirmed
2.	Action Taken Report (ATR) on of 357 th Meeting of SRC 23 rd & 24 th February, 2018 Action Taken Report (ATR) on of 358 th Meeting of SRC 1 st to 03 rd , March, 2018	N.A

**Consideration of Appeal Cases, Court Cases, LOI reply, Non submission of reply & Requesting
for Closure : (Volume-1)**

1.	SRCAPP2016 30050	D.El.Ed	Block Institute of Teacher Education, Nilgiris, Tamil Nadu	TN	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The Appellate Order is binding on us. But, if it appears to contravene the Regulations, then what should we do ?.2. In this case, the Appellate Authority has said that BITES have to be seen as integral parts of DIETs ; and, thereby, their composite character will be established. There is also a reference to their structure not envisaging their operating other teacher education programmes. But, it has to be noted that a 'Composite programme' has been defined to include a multiplicity of teacher education programmes besides having a mixture of professional and liberal education programmes. In the absence of a specific amendment to the Regulations, can we adopt the stand as directed ?.3. Let us seek advice of NCTE. If we follow the judicial discipline of carrying out the Appellate order, we will fall foul of violating the discipline of abiding by the Regulations. We can take further action as per advice of the NCTE (HQ)
2	SRCAPP2241	B.P.Ed 1 Unit	Lakshmibai National College of Physical Education, Thiruvananthapur am, Kerala	KL	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Appellate order is seen.2. There is a directive to condone the delay in issue of NOC. We have done this in some other cases also.3. Carry out the appellate directive. Revalidate the earlier decision taken to issue FR for D.El.Ed (1 unit) w.e.f. 2017-18.

Members: Dr. M.P. Vijayakumar , Prof. M.S. Lalithamma, Smt. Gayathri Devi T.N

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

					4. Inform the affiliating University.
3	SRCAPP2702	B.Ed-AI	Vivekananda College of Education, Adilabad, Telangana	TS	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This is a pipeline case of 2016-17. 2. The Appellate Authority has ordered that we should process this case further. 3.1. Let us carry out the appellate order. Cancel our 'rejection order' dt.12.10.17. 3.2. Revive the LOI. 3.3. Ask the applicant to submit an updated Faculty list. It should confirm to the amendment of the Regulation dt.9.6.2017.
4	SRCAPP1983	B.Ed	Velammal College of Education, Sivaganga, Tamil Nadu	TN	Noted
5	APS02383	B.Ed 2 Units	Sunitha Mahila Mandali's Gurukul College of Education, Mysore, Karnataka	KA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Court order and the Appellate Authority's order require us to further process this case. 2. Our order of 28 July 2010 withdrawing recognition consequently stands cancelled. 3. Reopen the case. 4. Order VT Inspection as directed. Ask VT to check on adequacy of instructional and physical infrastructure.
6	SRCAPP2016 30138	B.A.B.Ed BSc.B.Ed	Krishnasamy College of Education for Women,	PO	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The objection relating to delayed submission of NOC is removed. 2. In compliance of the court order, let us revive the case. 3. The VT report has furnished details of BP

			Pondicherry		<p>and BCC.</p> <p>4. The BP is approved by Competent authority. The total built-up area is much more than what is required.</p> <p>5.1. The BCC is not in format.</p> <p>5.2. The BCC is not approved by competent authority.</p> <p>5.3. The BCC refers to use of asbestos roofing. This is highly objectionable ; use of 'asbestos' in the project area or (even) in the neighboring area is strictly forbidden by the Regulations.</p> <p>6. Issue SCN accordingly.</p>
7	APS03254	B.Ed	Annai Fathima Teacher Training College for Women, Erode, Tamil Nadu	TN	<p>1. This is an old case.</p> <p>2.1. They had our recognition.</p> <p>2.2. But, for serious violation of affiliation conditions, the TNTEU withdraw their affiliation. This position has not changed.</p> <p>2.3. TNTEU have reported that this college has not been functioning since 2010-11.</p> <p>3.1. This college has not filed the mandatory affidavit required about adherence to the 2014 Regulations to come under the new Regulations.</p> <p>3.2. They have, therefore, not been given a RPRO.</p> <p>3.3. That being so, they can not operate under the new Regulations.</p> <p>4. Withdraw the recognition issued for B.Ed.</p>

					<p>5. Return FDRs, if any.</p> <p>6. Close the file.</p>
8	SRCAPP51	B.Ed	Olcott Memorial College of Education, vellore, Tamil Nadu	TN	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The complainant's case against the SRC for granting recognition to this college, irrespective of this complaint, has been withdrawn. 2. No further action is required in this matter. 3. Close the file.
9	APS04925	D.T.Ed	Thozilathibar Teacher Training Institute, Trichy, Tamil Nadu	TN	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The disputed-issue in this case has been settled. 2. The writ filed by the applicant has, consequently, been dismissed as in fructuous. 3. No further action is required in this matter. 4. Close the file.
10	APS02268	B.Ed 2 Units	Manjula Mallesh College of Education, Bangalore, Karnataka	KA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There is a court -order that students of this Institution, who took the examination of B.Ed., in oct 2017, shall be declared their results by the Bangalore University by Jan 2018. This is for Bangalore University to act upon. 2.1. But, it is not clear what exam is being referred to in the said order. 2.2. It is to be noted here that the college has no 'recognition' from SRC ; and, no 'affiliation' from the Bangalore University. 3. The College owes its existence, admissions, examinations to the interim orders of the court.

					<p>3.1. To regularize its arrangements, the College assured the court on 16.4.18 to seek recognition immediately from SRC and from Bangalore University for affiliation.</p> <p>3.2. They have sent a letter on 9.5.18, attaching a copy of the court order and making general requests for favourable consideration.</p> <p>3.3.1. They have not made the application in the prescribed form.</p> <p>3.3.2. They have not attached the title deeds.</p> <p>3.3.3. They have not attached the other documents required.</p> <p>3.3.4. They have not paid the fee.</p> <p>3.3.5. The application is not in response to the notification issued by NCTE inviting applications.</p> <p>4. We do recognise that the court has directed such an application to be made. Even so, the applicant should have taken care to file a proper application instead of a one page letter.</p> <p>5. Since the applicant has not filed a proper application within the time-frame stipulated by the court, the application is rejected.</p> <p>6. Return FDRs if any.</p> <p>7. Close the file.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

359th Meeting of SRC
17th – 18th, May, 2018

11	SRCAPP14156	B.Ed 2 Units	Basavashree Institute of Education, Kolar, Karnataka	KA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This matter relates to NCTE (HQ). They are the party to the case. SRC has no locus standi. 2. Several institutions from all the four regions have filed such cases. All such cases have been clubbed and given to the Delhi High Court for disposed by the Supreme Court. 3. Forward the whole case to the NCTE (HQ).
12	SRCAPP2016 30202	D.P.Ed 1 Unit	Boggavarapu Guravaiah College of Physical Education, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh	AP	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We gave FR for DPED for 1 unit because they had cited only 'one unit' in the on-line application. 2.1. They have represented that the reference to 'one unit' in the on-line application was as error. 2.2. All entries in the documents submitted will be seen to refer to 'two units'. 2.3. They claim to have pointed out to this error telephonically and requested for correction of 'one unit' to 'two units'. 2.4. All the parameters necessary for 'two units' have been met. 3.1. while it is correct that they have all the facilities for running DPED (2units), it has to be recognised that the Regulations prescribe a ceiling of 'one unit' for DPED courses. 3.2. It is for this reason that, in this case, we had corrected our mistake at the LOI stage and changed it to LOI for DPED

					<p>(1 unit).</p> <p>3.3. That was why we had directed the RD to change our orders, in the other similar cases, from DPED (2 units) to D.P.Ed (1 unit).</p> <p>4.1. In the result, and for the reasons given above, it will not be possible in this case to grant DPED (2 units).</p> <p>4.2. Let us apprise the court of this legal difficulty and request the court to kindly review its order. The statement made by our lawyer was unauthorized. We may be excused for this mistake committed by our lawyer.</p> <p>5. RD to take further action accordingly without delay.</p>
13	SRCAPP2016 30219	M.Ed 1 Unit	Sathyasai B.Ed College, Thiruvallur, Tamil Nadu	TN	<p>1. The reply to LOI is seen.</p> <p>2. The Faculty list is examined : (i) It is duly approved. (ii) The Principal is common for B.Ed & M.Ed. (iii) One Professor's post is vacant. (iv) One Asst Prof (Ms. Uma Maheshwari) is under qualified.</p> <p>3. Issue SCN accordingly</p>
14	SRCAPP2016 30143	B.Ed 1 Unit	Muthukkaruppan Memorial Educational Trust, Tuticorin, Tamil Nadu	TN	<p>1. The reply to our SCN Notice is seen.</p> <p>2.1. The revised Faculty list is examined.</p> <p>2.2. Five deficiencies were pointed out. They have rectified all five deficiencies.</p> <p>3. Issue FR for B.Ed (2 units) as already</p>

					decided on 31.1.18.
15	SRCAPP14786	M.Ed 1 Unit	Little Rose College of Education & Little Rose College of Elementary Education, East Godavari, Andhra Pradesh	AP	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The reply to our LOI Notice is seen.2. The revised Faculty list is examined.3. The four deficiencies listed have been rectified.4. Issue FR for M.Ed (1 unit) w.e.f.2019-20.
16	SRCAPP3474	D.El.Ed-AI (1 Unit)	Little Rose College of Education & Little Rose College of Elementary Education, East Godavari, Andhra Pradesh	AP	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Their reply to our LOI Notice is seen.2. The revised Faculty list is examined.3. The approved Faculty list is in order.4. Issue FR for D.El.Ed-AI (1 unit) w.e.f.2019-20.

359th Meeting of SRC
17th – 18th, May, 2018

17	SRCAPP2016 30039	M.Ed 1 Unit	University of Hyderabad, Rangareddy, Telangana	TS	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1.1. They have not given any substantive reply to our LOI Notice.1.2. They have only tried to explain away the deficiencies.2. We can not accept such an incomplete Faculty List.3. We can not also give a Conditional FR.4. After rectifying the deficiencies they can seek FR.5. Since we can consider cases now (i.e, after 3.3.18) only for FR w.e.f. 2019-20 there is no problem in giving more time.6. Give them time till 31.1.19.
18	SRCAPP2016 30097	M.P.Ed 1 Unit	Adikavi Nannaya University, East Godavari, Andhra Pradesh	AP	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. This case has unfortunately been delayed unnecessarily because of a faulty agenda note put up by the R.D. The SRC expresses regret to the University for the inconvenience caused due to the mistake of the SRO.2. The Faculty list is in order.3. Issue FR for M.P.Ed (1 unit) w.e.f. 2018-19 as decided by us on 18-19 Jan 2018.

19	SRCAPP2016 30159	D.P.Ed	Sai Deepthi College of Physical Education, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh	AP	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. In this case, we had made a mistake of processing it for D.P.Ed (2 units) when the Regulations prescribe a ceiling of 'one unit' for DPED Courses.2. We corrected our mistake at the LOI stage and made it a LOI for D.P.Ed (1 unit). Accordingly, FR was also issued only for DPED (1 unit).3. The applicant has cited three other cases, earlier decided, in which 'two units' were given for DPED.4. The applicant's citation is factually correct. But, we have admitted the mistake committed in those cases, reviewed our orders concerned and directed the R.D to issue revised orders for DPED (1 unit).5.1. That being so, the applicant's argument no longer holds good.5.2 Accordingly, it will not be possible to grant DPED (2 units) in this case.5.3. We may apprise the Court of this legal hurdle and request the court to kindly review their order.6. After apprising the court and getting their approval, issue an order rejecting the applicant's request for change of FR from DPED (1 unit) to DPED (2 units).7. Issue revised FRs in the other 3 similar cases in reference and express regret for the inconvenience causes.8. Inform the SCERT, concerned.
----	---------------------	--------	---	----	--

20	--	--	A letter dated 27.02.2018 received from advocate Shri Thomas George on 01.03.2018 along with Court judgment	GN	<p>1. The Court order is noted.</p> <p>2.1. The directions are all for the Govt. of Kerala.</p> <p>2.2. We have not been required to take any action.</p> <p>3.1. No further action is required.</p> <p>3.2. Close the file.</p>
21	SRCAPP2016 30096	M.Ed 1 Unit	Adikavi Nannaya University, East Godavari, Andhra Pradesh	AP	<p>1. Their reply to our SCN is seen.</p> <p>2.2. They are correct in saying that 2 Asst. Profs (Dr. Gopala Krishnan and Dr. Gubbala Elisa Babu) can be appointed as Profs ; and, 2 Asst. Profs (Dr. Nandika Sujata & Dr. Varahala Dora) can be appointed as Associate Profs.</p> <p>2.3. But, this will leave only 5 persons (against a requirement of 6) in the Asst. Prof category.</p> <p>3. Issue SCN accordingly.</p>
22	SRCAPP2016 30153	M.Ed 1 Unit	Cosmopolitan College of Education, Kancheepuram, Tamil Nadu	TN	<p>1. Their reply to our SCN is seen.</p> <p>2. The Faculty list now given is examined.</p> <p>(i) The Principal is qualified.</p> <p>(ii) The two Profs are in order ; only, in the case of Ms. Rajalakshmi marks in M.Ed are still not shown.</p> <p>(iii) The two Associate Profs are in order.</p> <p>(iv) 5 new Asst Profs are in order. Dr. Bakkiaraj from the old list makes up the 6th position.</p> <p>3. Ask them to report the marks in M.Ed of</p>

					Ms. Rajalakshmi
23	SRCAPP2016 30065	BA.B.Ed 2 Units	Sree Datta Brindavan Institute of Teacher Education, Mahabubnagar, Telangana	TS	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Their reply to our SCN is seen. 2. They need time to augment the built-up area. 3. They want 3 months time more to reply. 4. Since we can consider this case only for FR w.e.f. 2019-20, there is no problem in giving further time. 5. Give them time till 31.12.18.
24	SRCAPP2947	B.Ed 2 Units	Bhagiratha College of Education, Chithradurga, Karnataka	KA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. FDRs with one year validity have been received. Ask them to submit FDRs with 5 years validity. 2. Thereafter, issue FR for B.Ed (2 units) w.e.f. 2018-19 as already decided on 6.2.18
25	SRCAPP20163 0111	BA.B.Ed BSc.B.Ed 2 Units	Alwards Institute of Education and Training, Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh	AP	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. VT Inspection report is seen. 2. BP is still not clear. A legible BP must be given. 3. FDRs are required <u>in original</u>, in joint account and with a five year validity separately for each programme @ Rs 12 lakhs. 4. BCC shows built up area as 5907 sq ft whereas VTI Report shows it as 5907 sq mt. They should explain the discrepancy ; and, if necessary, give a corrected BCC. 5. Issue SCN accordingly